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## Randomized Algorithms

- Randomized algorithm may be faster and simpler
- For some tasks randomness is necessary
- We'll use randomized algorithms in virtually all following topics
- Randomized algorithms make mistakes (with small probability)
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## Review of Probability Theory

- Sample Space $\Omega$.

$$
\Omega=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\} ; \Omega=\{H H, H T, T H, T T\}
$$

- Event $A \subseteq \Omega . A=\{2,4,6\} ; A=\{T T, T H\}$
- Probability measure: $\forall A, \operatorname{Pr}(A) \in[0,1]$
- $\operatorname{Pr}(\Omega)=1$
- $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots$ are disjoint: $\operatorname{Pr}\left[\cup_{i} A_{i}\right]=\sum_{i} \operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{i}\right]$
- $A_{1}=\{H H\}, A_{2}=\{H T\}$,
$\operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right]=\operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{1}\right]+\operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{2}\right]$
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## Random Variable

- Result of experiment is often not event but number
- Random variable X: $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- Toss three coins, $X=$ number of heads
- Throw two dice:
$Y=$ sum of numbers, $Z=\max$ of numbers
- Expected value $\mathbb{E}[X]=\sum_{i} \operatorname{Pr}\left[x_{i}\right] \cdot x_{i}$
- Throw a die, $X=$ the number you're getting

$$
\mathbb{E}[X]=\frac{1}{6} \cdot 1+\frac{1}{6} \cdot 2+\ldots+\frac{1}{6} \cdot 6=3.5
$$
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- Synchronize local files to the cloud
- Has file been changed? File length: $n$ bits
- Algorithm: send $n$ bits
- Can send $n-1$ bits?
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No algorithm can solve the problem by sending $n-1$ bits

Randomized algorithm can solve the problem by sending $\approx \log n$ bits!
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## Randomized Algorithm

 local file| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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## Randomized Algorithm
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$$
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$$

EQ jiff
Pick random prime $p \in$ $\left\{2,3, \ldots, 100 n^{2} \log n\right\}$ $a=b \bmod p \downarrow$

$$
b \in\left\{0, \ldots, 2^{n}-1\right\}
$$

| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## ANALYSIS

- If $a=b$, then for every $p, a=b \bmod p$. We always output EQ!
- If $a \neq b$, how often do we output $E Q$ ?
- $a-b=0 \bmod p$.
$2^{n} \geq a-b=p_{1} \cdot p_{2} \cdots p_{k} \geq 2^{k}$
- Prime Number Theorem: there are $\approx N / \log N$ prime numbers in the interval $\{2,3, \ldots, N\}$
- With probability $\approx 1-\frac{1}{100 n}$ the output is correct
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}[X+Y] ? \\
& \mathbb{E}[X+Y]=\sum_{i, j} \operatorname{Pr}\left[X=x_{i} \cap Y=y_{j}\right] \cdot\left(x_{i}+y_{j}\right) \\
&=\sum_{i} x_{i} \sum_{j} \operatorname{Pr}\left[X=x_{i} \cap Y=y_{j}\right] \\
&+\sum_{j} y_{j} \sum_{i} \operatorname{Pr}\left[X=x_{i} \cap Y=y_{j}\right] \\
&=\sum_{i} x_{i} \operatorname{Pr}\left[X=x_{i}\right]+\sum_{j} y_{j} \operatorname{Pr}\left[Y=y_{j}\right] \\
&=\mathbb{E}[X]+\mathbb{E}[Y]
\end{aligned}
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## LINEARITY OF EXPECTATION

- One die: $\mathbb{E}[X]=3.5$
- Five dice? $\mathbb{E}\left[X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}+X_{4}+X_{5}\right]$ ?
- By linearity of expectation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}+X_{4}+X_{5}\right] \\
= & \mathbb{E}\left[X_{1}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[X_{2}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[X_{3}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[X_{4}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[X_{5}\right] \\
= & 5 \cdot 3.5=17.5
\end{aligned}
$$

## BREAK

- Alice and Bob have (unusual) dice
- Numbers on Alice's die are 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3
- Numbers on Bob's die are 1, 1, 1, 1, 6, 6
- Alice and Bob throw their dice; the one with the larger number on the die wins
-Whose die has larger expected number?
-Who wins with higher probability?
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## Maximum Cut

- Undirected graph $G$, vertices $V$, edges $E$
- Bipartition of $V$ that maximizes the number of edges crossing the partition
- Bipartition: $S \subseteq V, \bar{S} \subseteq V$
- Cut $\delta(S)=\{(u, v) \in E: u \in S, v \in \bar{S}\}$
- Max-CUT: $\max _{S \subseteq v} \delta(S)$
- NP-hard to solve exactly
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## Randomized Approximation

- Output a random subset $S \subseteq V$
- In other words, add each vertex vin S independently with probability $1 / 2$
- Each edge $(u, v)$ is cut with probability $1 / 2$
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## ANALYSIS

- $X_{u, v}=1$ if $(u, v)$ is cut, $X_{u, v}=0$ otherwise
- $X_{u, v}=1$ with probability $1 / 2$
- $\mathbb{E}\left[X_{u, v}\right]=1 / 2$
- Number of cut edges

$$
\sum_{(u, v) \in E} X_{u, v}
$$

- Expected number of cut edges

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{(u, v) \in E} X_{u, v}\right]=\sum_{(u, v) \in E} \mathbb{E}\left[X_{u, v}\right]=|E| / 2
$$
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## 2-APPROXIMATION

- Max-CUT: OPT $\leq|E|$
- Our algorithm: $\mathbb{E}[\delta(S)] \geq|E| / 2$
- $\mathbb{E}[\delta(S)] \geq$ OPT /2
- Can we have algorithm that always outputs $\delta(S) \geq$ OPT $/ 2$ ?
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## MARKOV'S INEQUALITY

## Theorem

If $X$ is non-negative random variable*, then

$$
\forall a, \quad \operatorname{Pr}[X \geq a] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{a}
$$

Examples:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pr}[X \geq 2 \mathbb{E}[X]] \leq \frac{1}{2} . \\
& \operatorname{Pr}[X \geq 5 \mathbb{E}[X]] \leq \frac{1}{5} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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- Assume the contrary: the probability to win 500 dollars or more is at least 0.01
- Denote the number of tickets sold by $n$
- Then the budget of the lottery is 10 n dollars
- $10 n \times 0.4=4 n$ dollars are spent on the prizes
- By our assumption at least $\frac{n}{100}$ tickets win at least 500 dollars
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## Lottery Budget

## Problem

A lottery ticket costs 10 dollars. A 40\% of a lottery budget goes to prizes. Show that the chances to win 500 dollars or more are less than 1\%

- In total these tickets win $\frac{n}{100} \times 500=5 n$ dollars
- This exceeds the total prize budget of $4 n$ !
- Contradiction!
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## Geometric Proof

$\mathbb{E}[X] \geq a \times \operatorname{Pr}[X \geq a]$
Suppose $X$ takes values $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}$ with probabilities
$p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}$

$\mathbb{E}[X]$ is the area of
the gray region
$a \times \operatorname{Pr}[X \geq a]$ is the
area of the red
region

The gray region is larger: the inequality follows
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## Approximation Guarantee

- $\mathbb{E}[\#$ cut edges $]=|E| / 2$ $\mathbb{E}[\#$ uncut edges $]=|E| / 2$
- $\operatorname{Pr}\left[\#\right.$ uncut edges $\left.\geq \frac{|E|}{2}(1+\varepsilon)\right] \leq \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}$
- $\operatorname{Pr}\left[\#\right.$ cut edges $\left.\leq \frac{|E|}{2}(1-\varepsilon)\right] \leq \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \leq 1-\varepsilon / 2$
- With probability at least $\varepsilon / 2$, we have $\frac{2}{1-\varepsilon}$-approximation
- Ex. $\varepsilon=1 / 100$ : with probability at least $1 / 200$, we have 2.03-approximation
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## ProbABILITY AMPLIFICATION

- Pick independent uniform subsets

$$
S_{1}, \ldots, S_{k} \subseteq V
$$

- Output the subset with maximum cut $\delta\left(S_{i}\right)$
- $\operatorname{Pr}\left[\max \delta\left(S_{i}\right) \leq \frac{|E|}{2}(1-\varepsilon)\right]=\operatorname{Pr}\left[\operatorname{all} \delta\left(S_{i}\right) \leq \frac{|E|}{2}(1-\varepsilon)\right]$

$$
\leq(1-\varepsilon / 2)^{k} \leq e^{-\varepsilon k / 2} \leq \frac{1}{10^{10} n} \text { for } k=\frac{2 \ln n+50}{\varepsilon}
$$

- We have $\frac{2}{1-\varepsilon}$-approximation with probability $1-\frac{1}{10^{10} n}$
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## SUMMARY

- Randomized algorithm may be faster and simpler
- For some tasks randomness is necessary
- We can go from expectation to probability via Markov's inequality
- We can amplify probability of success by independent repetitions

